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#### Abstract

Catalytic intramolecular cyclopropanation by diazoacetates onto a remote carbon-carbon double bond resulting in the formation of 9 - to 20 -membered ring lactones is reported. When competition exists between proximal allylic and remote olefinic cyclopropanation, macrocyclization is favored by catalysts of increasing electrophilicity: $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}>\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}, \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}>\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}$, and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$. Terpene systems, cis-nerolidyl diazoacetate and related structures, malonic ester derivatives, and those with 1,2-benzenedimethanol, pentaerythritol, and cis-2-buten-1,4-diol linkers all undergo cyclopropanation onto the most remote carbon-carbon double bond in good yield. Generally, only one cyclopropane diastereoisomer is observed, but increasing ring size allows stereochemistries in macrocyclization reactions that resemble those of their intermolecular cyclopropanation counterparts. In one system (25) macrocyclic addition is accompanied by ylide formation/[2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement resulting in the formation of a 10 -membered ring lactone. Overall, few limits to macrocycle formation are evident, and the methodology appears to have general applicability.


The synthesis of macrocycles is rich in methodology and important for the construction of numerous biologically significant compounds. ${ }^{1-4}$ Although macrocyclic systems can be generated by cleavage of internal bonds in polycyclic systems and by ring expansion, ${ }^{5}$ the methods of choice involve entropically disfavored end-to-end cyclization of open, long-chain precursors, generally with the required use of high dilution techniques. ${ }^{6}$ Rates for macrocyclization are intermediate between highly favored five- or six-membered ring formation and the intermolecular transformation, and a variety of ingenious processes have been devised to circumvent competition from intermolecular reactions in large ring syntheses.

A recent communication has suggested catalytic cyclopropanation to be a new methodology for the construction of macrocyclic lactones. Doyle, Poulter, and co-workers have reported that whereas trans,trans-farnesyl diazoacetate (1) underwent intramolecular cyclopropanation exclusively at the allylic double bond to form 2 with the use of dirhodium(II) carboxamidates, including dirhodium(II) caprolactamate $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{cap})_{4}\right]$ and those with chiral ligands, with the use of dirhodium(II) carboxylates addition took place solely at the terminal double bond to produce a 13 -membered cyclopropane-fused lactone (Scheme 1). ${ }^{7}$ High dilution was not required, and macrocycle 3 was formed in relatively high yield and with diastereoselec-

[^0]Scheme 1


tivity that was dependent on the catalyst ligands. With consideration that the internal double bond could be responsible, at least in part, for the macrocyclization, ( - )-(7R)-6,7-dihydrofarnesyl diazoacetate (4) was treated with the same selection of catalysts only to find similar results: $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}$ catalyzed intramolecular allylic cyclopropanation exclusively, whereas with $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ or dirhodium(II) perfluorobutyrate $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}\right]$ macrolide formation was the major outcome. We now wish to report investigations with diverse sets of molecular frameworks possessing terminal alkene and diazoacetate units that demonstrate the broad applicability of this catalytic intramolecular cyclopropanation methodology for macrolide formation.

## Results

cis-Nerolidol and Related Systems. We have previously reported that the diazoacetate formed from (+)-cis-nerolidol underwent allylic cyclopropanation in reactions catalyzed by $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}$ but that $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ catalysis resulted in macrocyclization without significant competition from cyclopropanation of the allylic double bond (Scheme 2). Only the cis-fused cyclopropane stereoisomer of the 11-membered macrocycle 7

## Scheme 2



was produced, but diastereocontrol at the methyl/vinylsubstituted carbon was random (50:50). In addition, limited diastereocontrol, anticipated from prior studies, ${ }^{8,9}$ was achieved in the formation of $\mathbf{6}$. Structural modification of $\mathbf{5}$ resulting from Grignard addition to nerylacetone followed by diazoacetate formation gave 8a,b, which also underwent macrocyclization in reactions catalyzed by $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ (eq 1). Once again, only the cis-fused cyclopropane isomers were formed and, although

(1)
only a single isomer of $\mathbf{9 a}$ could be produced, diastereoselectivity for 9b, like that for 7, was low ( $<60: 40$ ). With $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}-$ $(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}$, a catalyst proven to be most suitable for macrocyclic aromatic cycloaddition, ${ }^{10}$ complex product mixtures were observed in which 9 was a minor product. The cis-cyclopropane stereochemistry of 9 was evident from the coupling constant between cyclopropane hydrogens $(J=9.2-9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{11,12}$

Malonic Ester Derivatives. The syntheses of 10a,b provided the frame work for evaluation of competitive intramolecular cyclopropanation of allylic and remote double bonds. The preparation of 10a,b was accomplished in high yield by sequential alkylation followed by diketene-derived diazoacetate formation (Scheme 3). Catalytic diazo decomposition of 10a,b produced the 10 -membered ring macrocycle $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\gamma$-lactone 14 in high yields and with regioselectivities that were dependent on the catalyst and the substituent R (Table 1).

Use of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}$ with $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ resulted in the allylic cyclopropanation product $\mathbf{1 4 a}$ virtually exclusively, but with the methallyl derivative $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ the macrocyclic product $\mathbf{1 3 c}$ was the major product even in reactions catalyzed by $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}$. The copper catalyst $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ is similar to $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ in its reactivity and selectivity, but $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ is comparable to $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}$. Both cis and trans-macrolide cyclopropanes were formed from 10a with $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}, \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$, and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, but only the cis isomer was produced from 10b. The cis/trans ratio (13ac/ 13at) was relatively independent of the catalyst employed.

[^1]Scheme $3^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{NaH} / \mathrm{THF}$, then cis- $\mathrm{TBDMSOCH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{OMs}$; (b) $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NF} /$ THF; (c) diketene $/ \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (catalyst)/THF; (d) $\mathrm{MeSO}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; (e) LiOH , THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 1. Product Distributions from Diazo Decomposition of $\mathbf{1 0}^{a}$

| substrates, $\mathrm{R}=$ | catalyst | isolated yield, ${ }^{b}$ | relative yield, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ \% |  | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 13c | 13t |  |
| H | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}$ | 68 | 55 | 44 | 1 |
|  | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | 72 | 50 | 40 | 10 |
|  | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}$ | 82 | 1 | $t$ | 99 |
|  | $\mathrm{CuPF}_{6}$ | 66 | 60 | 35 | 5 |
|  | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ | 55 |  |  | 100 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}$ | 87 | 96 |  | 4 |
|  | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | 87 | 96 |  | 4 |
|  | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}$ | 53 | 62 |  | 38 |
|  | $\mathrm{CuPF}_{6}$ | 89 | 93 |  | 7 |

${ }^{a}$ Reactions performed in refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ using $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst. ${ }^{b}$ Product yield after chromatography. ${ }^{c}$ Determined by GC analysis.

Stereochemistry at the cyclopropane ring was elucidated from proton coupling constants ( $J_{\text {trans }}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\text {cis }}=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

Pentaerythritol as Linker. The diazoacetate of pentaerythritol triallyl ether (15) is constructed to undergo competitive ylide formation/[2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement ${ }^{13,14}$ and intramolecular cyclopropanation, but only intramolecular cyclopropanation resulting in the nine-membered ring lactone $\mathbf{1 6}$ having the cis-disubstituted cyclopropane geometry is observed (eq 2). Oxonium ylide generation would have required the

formation of a seven-membered ring which, although not previously reported for allyl ether derivatives, ${ }^{13,14}$ has been achieved in $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion reactions ${ }^{15}$ and in sulfur ylide chemistry even for the formation of eight- and nine-membered rings. ${ }^{16}$ However, the product expected from sigmatropic rearrangement was not observed, and, instead, cyclopropanefused lactone $\mathbf{1 6}$ was formed in good yield by reaction with a spectrum of dirhodium(II) and copper catalysts: $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}$ ( $62 \%$ ), $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(71 \%), \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}(33 \%)$, and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4-}$ $\mathrm{PF}_{6}(62 \%)$ with isolated yields of the purified product given in

[^2]parentheses; $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ did not cause cyclopropanation in refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, but $\mathbf{1 6}$ was isolated in $42 \%$ yield following diazo decomposition of $\mathbf{1 5}$ using this catalyst in refluxing $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$. With catalysts of decreasing reactivity toward remote double bonds, the amounts of carbene dimer and water insertion byproducts increase.

1,2-Benzenedimethanol as Linker. Recently reported results with diazoacetates derived from allyl-substituted 1,2-benzenedimethanol have shown that macrocyclization occurs in high yields in reactions (eq 3 ) catalyzed by $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right) / \mathrm{bis}-$ oxazoline 19 and dirhodium(II) tetrakis[methyl 2-oxapyrrolidine-$5(S)$-carboxylate], $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(5 S \text {-MEPY })_{4}(\mathbf{2 0}) .{ }^{15}$ The extent of catalyst effectiveness for this transformation is evident in the broad spectrum of catalysts that produce $\mathbf{1 8}$ in high yield: $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}$,

$72 \% ; \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}, 77 \% ; \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}, 85 \% ; \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}, 74 \%$; and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}, 86 \%$. In contrast to reactions with $\mathbf{1 0}$, which in cyclopropanation at the allylic position afforded an alternative pathway to macrocyclization for $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ catalysts (Table 1), all rhodium(II) and copper catalysts were effective for macrocyclization with 17; product(s) from aromatic cycloaddition onto the 1,2-benzenedimethyl linker were not observed, nor were those from ylide formation. The allyl ether analog 17b also underwent intramolecular cyclopropanation catalyzed by $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, and macrocycle $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ was isolated in $63 \%$ yield. The cis stereochemistry for $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ was established from the coupling constants for cyclopropane ring hydrogens. The trans cyclopropane isomer of $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ was not detected.

To ascertain the degree to which intramolecular cyclopropanation is favored over intermolecular cyclopropanation, competition reactions with methallyl methyl ether were performed. Methallyl methyl ether was selected, because it resembled the methallyl ether of 17a most closely. Diazo compound 17a was added to the reaction mixture containing methallyl methyl ether and $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ by controlled addition so that at any one time there was a large molar excess of external alkene, relative to internal alkene, present throughout the addition. In addition to intramolecular cyclopropanation product 18a, both $E$ - and Z-cyclopropane isomers from intermolecular cyclopropanation (21) and the product from intermolecular ylide formation/[2,3]sigmatropic rearrangement (22) were formed (eq 4). Figure 1

reports relative yields of intramolecular (18a) and intermolecular $(\mathbf{2 1}+\mathbf{2 2})$ reaction products as a function of alkene concentration. Isolated yields were $85 \pm 2 \%$, and the $\boldsymbol{E}-/ \mathbf{Z}-\mathbf{2 1}$ product ratio was 1.0:1.0. The extent of ylide formation varied slightly


Figure 1. Relative yield of intramolecular ( $O$ ) and intermolecular ( $\square$ ) reaction products from 17a catalyzed by $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ as a function of alkene concentration.

## Scheme $4^{a}$


${ }^{\text {a }}$ (a) NaH ; (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$; (c) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{O}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (d) $1,2-$ benzene-dimethanol, $\mathrm{NaH} / \mathrm{THF}$; (e) diketene, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{THF}$; (f) $\mathrm{MsN}_{3}$, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{THF}$; (g) LiOH (4 equiv), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
(21 $\rightarrow 29 \%$ relative yield of intermolecular reaction products) over the range of olefin concentrations employed.

Further extension of the distance between the carbene center and a site for intramolecular cyclization was achieved with the use of cis-2-buten-1,4-diyl as an extender onto 1,2-benzenedimethanol (Scheme 4). With this system potential competition exists not only between cyclopropanation, aromatic cycloaddition, and ylide formation/rearrangement but also in regioselectivity ( $1 \rightarrow 10$ versus $1 \rightarrow 15$ addition) and diastereoselectivity (cis- or trans-cyclopropane product). Catalytic diazo decomposition of $\mathbf{2 5}$ resulted in the formation of products (eq 5) from intramolecular cyclopropanation at the 15,16 -double bond, solely

(5)
as the $Z$-cyclopropane stereoisomer (26), and from ylide formation/[2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement (27). The product from intramolecular cyclopropanation at the 10,11-double bond was not observed, nor were there products from intramolecular aromatic cycloaddition. The influence of catalyst on selectivity is seen in the results presented in Table 2. The production of 27, which arose from oxonium ylide formation with regioselective and stereoselective [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement (eq 6 ) into the cis-2-buten-1,4-diyl linker rather than into the methallyl ether, became more than marginally competitive with

Table 2. Product Distributions from Diazo Decomposition of $\mathbf{2 5} a$

|  |  | relative yield, ${ }^{c} \%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| catalyst | isolated yield, ${ }^{b} \%$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ |
| $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}$ | 68 | 53 | 47 |
| $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | 71 | 94 | 6 |
| $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}$ | 65 | $>99$ | $<1$ |
| $\mathrm{CuPF}_{6}$ | 70 | 84 | 16 |

${ }^{a}$ Reactions performed in refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ using $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst. ${ }^{b}$ Product yield after chromatography. ${ }^{c}$ Determined by GC analysis.

(6)
intramolecular cyclopropanation only with $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}$. Only the cis-isomer 27 was observed based on NOE experiments on the lactone formed by hydrogenolysis.

The structure of 26 was determined by X-ray diffraction analysis of a crystal grown from hexanes/ethyl acetate (Figure 2) which established the Z-geometry of substituents on the cyclopropane ring. In this structure the carbonyl oxygen is directed inward and syn to the cyclopropane ring. Oxygens are positioned so as to avoid dipolar repulsions.

Ylide formation does not occur in diazo decomposition of the benzene dimethanol analog 29 whose formation follows the sequence of steps outlined in Scheme 4. Instead, two products $(\mathbf{3 0 Z}$ and $\mathbf{3 0 E}$ ), diastereoisomers of each other, are formed by macrocyclic cyclopropanation (eq 7). Whereas only the Zcyclopropane isomer 26 was formed from 25, both $Z$ - and $E$-cyclopropane isomers were formed from 29, and the diastereoisomer ratio varied with the catalyst employed. Higher Z:E diastereomer ratios were obtained from $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ than from $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ catalyzed reactions. Product yields are for pure $\mathbf{3 0 Z}$ and pure 30E, obtained following chromatography, and are not optimized.


Finally, in an effort to evaluate the potential of this methodology in the formation of macrocycles even larger than $\mathbf{2 6}$ and 30, diazoacetate 32 was conveniently produced from the same alcohol (28) employed in the formation of 29 (Scheme 5). Diazoacetate 32 was formed in $39 \%$ overall yield from 28. Treatment of 32 with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ formed products from intramolecular cyclopropanation (eq 8) of which only the Zand $E$-cyclopropanes from addition to the remote carbon-carbon double bond ( $\mathbf{3 3 Z}$ and $\mathbf{3 3 E}$ ) were identifiable (in a $2: 1$ molar ratio). Similar results were obtained using $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ but with higher product yields ( $59 \%$ vs $42 \%$ with $\mathrm{CuPF}_{6}$ ) and a higher Z:E diastereomer ratio. In fact, the crude product from reactions catalyzed by $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ was $>65 \% 33$.


Figure 2. View of $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (26). Thermal elipsoids are scaled to the $30 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms are drawn to an arbitrary scale.

Scheme $\mathbf{5}^{a}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ (a) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) 1,2-benzenedimethanol/ NaH in THF; (c) diketene, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{THF}$; (d) $\mathrm{MsN}_{3}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{THF}$; (e) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}, 0$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


## Discussion

As seen by the extent to which macrocylic cyclopropanation can be achieved, there appears to be a global advantage of this methodology for macrolide syntheses. The formation of rings containing nine to 20 atoms is reported, and, with few exceptions, product yields are generally greater than $50 \%$. A cis-2-buten-1,4-diyl or 1,2-benzenedimethyl linker, which brings the remote reaction centers closer together, favors macrocyclization, but, as is the case with $\mathbf{1 , 4}$, and $\mathbf{1 5}$, this geometrical constraint is not a prerequisite.

Remote cyclopropanation is a function of the catalyst employed. With dirhodium(II) compounds, macrocyclization

## Scheme 6


is favored by ligands that increase the electrophilic character of the dirhodium(II) core: $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}>\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}>\mathrm{Rh}_{2^{-}}$ (cap) $)^{17,18}$ With copper catalysts the same is true so that Cu $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ can be said to resemble $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$, and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ provides results that are comparable to those obtained with $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}-$ (cap)4. For systems in which alternative path ways for the intermediate metal carbenes are not favorable (e.g., 15, 17, 29, and 32), all catalysts form product(s) from cyclopropanation at the remote double bond. In early investigations of intramolecular cyclopropanation, ${ }^{19}$ the absence of reports of macrocyclization can be understood in terms of the catalyst that was employed-those like $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ or Cu powder whose reactivity/ selectivity resembles that of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}$ (cap) $)_{4}$.

Alkene reactivity plays a significant role in determining the competitiveness of a reacting system for remote versus proximal allylic cyclopropanation, and no where is this more evident than in catalytic reactions of $\mathbf{1 0}$. Methallyl is more reactive than allyl because of the stabilization provided by substituent groups in the transition state for electrophilic cyclopropanation. As a result, proximal intramolecular allylic cyclopropanation is disfavored relative to addition to the remote methallyl group, so that with $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ only $\gamma$-lactone formation occurs with 10a, but the $\gamma$-lactone is the minor product from reactions with 10b. However, as seen in reactions of the pentaerythrityl diazoacetate $\mathbf{1 5}$, addition to an unactivated allylic double bond that is remote from the carbene center can be favorable even with the least reactive catalytic systems.

Macrocyclization is consistent with the formation of an intermediate $\pi$-complex between the carbon-carbon double bond and the carbene carbon (Scheme 6), which is an explanation initially advanced to explain stereoselectivity in intermolecular reactions ${ }^{20}$ and substantiated by others. ${ }^{21,22}$ Rotation of the alkene on the carbene center to maximize charge development at the more substituted carbon of the carbon-carbon double bond in the transition state provides the orientation

[^3]conducive to cyclopropane formation. Proximal allylic double bonds are sterically inhibited from $\pi$-complex formation with the net effect that macrocyclization is favored over $\gamma$-lactone production for those catalytic systems in which highly electrophilic metal carbene formation can be achieved. In this respect, $\pi$-complex formation effectively lowers the activation energy for cyclopropanation and allows macrocyclization to compete effectively with proximal allylic cyclopropanation, which is favored entropically.

The competitive experiment whose results are presented in Figure 1 suggests the extent to which entropic effects are important for macrocyclization. Even at its lowest concentration, the external alkene is present initially in $>100$-fold greater amount than the remote double bond of $\mathbf{1 7 a}$. This signifies that entropic effects, even from remote sites, are principal determinates of reaction selectivity and, taken together with the high yields achieved in the formation of the 20 -membered ring 33, suggests the enormous potential of this methodology.

## Experimental Section

General Methods. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 or 400 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 or 100 MHz ) spectra were obtained as solutions in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, unless indicated otherwise, and chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( $\mathrm{ppm}, \delta$ ) downfield from internal $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ (TMS). Infrared spectra were recorded as a thin film on sodium chloride plates or as KBr pellets, and absorptions are reported in wave numbers $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Mass spectra were obtained using electron ionization at 70 eV on a quadrupole instrument. Elemental analyses were performed at Texas Analytical Laboratories, Inc. Diketene was distilled under reduced pressure prior to use. Methanesulfonyl azide was prepared from methanesulfonyl chloride and sodium azide ${ }^{23}$ and was used without distillation. Rhodium(II) acetate was obtained commercially and recrystallized prior to use. ${ }^{24}$ The preparation of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4},{ }^{25} \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4},{ }^{17 \mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{26}$ have been previously reported. Dichloromethane was distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ prior to use. Tetrahydrofuran was distilled from sodium and benzophenone. Pentaerythritol triallyl ether (commercial, 70\% purity) was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (6:1 hexanes:EtOAc) prior to use.
cis-3,7,11-Trimethyl-1,6,10-undecatrien-3-yl diazoacetate (5) was prepared from nerolidol, glyoxylic acid chloride p-toluenesulfonylhydrazone, and triethylamine according to the procedure of Corey and Myers ${ }^{27}$ and isolated in $69 \%$ yield after column chromatography on silica (20:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.91$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30-5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 75\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ not detected $), 142.2,135.5,131.4,125.1,124.8,113.2$, 83.7, 46.0, 40.4, 32.2, 27.0, 25.9, 24.3, 23.6, 22.6, 17.7; IR (film) 2112 $\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1700(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1651 \quad(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 70.31; H, 9.03; N, 9.64. Found: C, 70.34; H, 9.08; N, 9.61 .
(1 $\alpha, 5 \alpha)$-4-Methyl-4-(4,8-dimethyl-cis-3,7-nonadien-1-yl)-3-oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-2-one (6). To a refluxing solution of anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing $6.1 \mathrm{mg}(92 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}$ (cap) $)_{4}$ was added via syringe pump over 8 h a $10 \mathrm{mLCH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathbf{5}(0.280$ g of $95 \%$ pure $5,0.92 \mathrm{mmol})$. After addition was complete, the reaction mixture was filtered through a $3-\mathrm{cm}$ plug of silica to separate the catalyst, and this plug was washed three times with $5-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After evaporation of the solvent, GC and NMR analyses showed the presence of only two products, exo-6 and endo-6 in a ratio of 71:29. Chromatographic purification of the reaction mixture on silica (10:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate) afforded 75 mg of exo-6, 34 mg of endo-

[^4]$\mathbf{6}$, and 110 mg of the mixture of isomers for a combined isolated yield of $90 \%$. Exo-6: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.24-5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.06 (t, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ H), 1.67 (s, 3 H ), $1.61-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17$ (ddd, $J=$ $7.7,5.7,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.37$ (ddd, $J=7.6,4.7,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.27$ (ddd, $J=8.8,7.6,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); NOESY experiment showed interaction between $\mathrm{CH}_{3}(0.96 \mathrm{ppm})$ and anti-H ( 0.37 ppm ) of cyclopropane; correlations also made by COSY, APT, and HETCOR for structural identification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.15-$ $5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.74 (dd, $J=9.6,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.69 (s, 3 H ), 1.69 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.61 ( s , $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12$ (ddd, $J=8.8,7.6,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.94$ (ddd, $J=5.1,4.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 175.8,136.1$, 131.7, 124.1, 123.8, 84.6, 42.4, 31.8, 26.4, 26.2, 25.7, 23.3, 22.6, 21.6, 19.3, 17.6, 10.5; IR (film) $1770(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1670(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative abundance) 262 (M, 4), 219 (10), 206 (12), 147 (20), 136 (33), 135 (21), 123 (21), 122 (40), 121 (44), 119 (20), 111 (99), 107 (70), 105 (25), 93 (97), 81 (99), 69 (100), 68 (60), 67 (71), 55 (81). Endo-6: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 5.15-5.05 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61$ (s, 3 H ), 1.47 (s, 3 H ), 1.12 (ddd, $J=8.8,7.6,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.96 (ddd, $J=5.1,4.8,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 175.6, 136.1, 131.7, 124.1, 123.9, 84.9, 42.4, 37.7, 31.9, 26.8, 26.5, 26.3, 25.7, 23.3, 22.8, 18.8, 10.7; mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative abundance) 262 (M, 2), 219 (7), 206 (3), 147 (13), 136 (19), 135 (12), 123 (16), 122 (29), 121 (25), 119 (13), 111 (73), 107 (45), 105 (17), 93 (59), 81 (94), 69 (100), 68 (46), 67 (42), 55 (48). Anal (exo/endo mixture). Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 77.82; H, 9.99. Found: C, 77.76; H, 10.05.
( $1 \alpha, 11 \alpha$ )-4,8,12,12-Tetramethyl-4-vinyl-3-oxabicyclo[9.1.0]dodeca-7-en-2-one (7). To a solution of anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature containing $4.3 \mathrm{mg}(97 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ was added via syringe pump over 7 h a $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $7(0.280 \mathrm{~g}, 0.97$ mmol ). After addition was complete, the reaction mixture was filtered through a $3-\mathrm{cm}$ plug of silica to separate the catalyst, and this plug was washed three times with $5-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After evaporation of the solvent, GC and NMR analyses showed the presence of two major products whose crude yield was $41 \%$, subsequently identified as two diastereoisomers (50:50 distribution of exo-7 and endo7). Other products identified in the crude mixture were $6(9.5 \%)$ and the product from water insertion into the carbene generated from 5 $(<15 \%)$. Chromatographic purification of the reaction mixture on silica ( $50: 1 \rightarrow 30: 1$ hexanes:ethyl acetate) afforded 50 mg of pure 7 ( $21 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) of 50:50 exo:endo-7: $\delta 6.26$ (dd, $J=11.0,17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.26$ (dd, $J=17.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.22-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,0.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1.0$ H), $0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 170.7$, (144.0, 143.0), (135.8, 135.6), 125.1, (112.4, 112.3), (82.5, 82.3), (36.1, $35.9),(35.3,35.2),(31.0,30.0),(29.04,29.00), 26.3,(25.1,24.9)$, (24.3, 24.1), (23.4, 23.3), 22.1, (21.7, 21.5), 15.1. COSY, HETCOR, APT correlations were made for structure identification; the cis geometry for the cyclopropane was assigned from the coupling constants ( $J=$ 9.3 Hz ) for doublet absorptions centered at $\delta 1.44$ and 1.42 which were correlated (COSY) with absorptions at $\delta 0.89-0.83$. Mass spectrum, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative abundance): first GC eluent, 262 (M, 2), 152 (22), 151 (19), 135 (12), 121 (18), 107 (24), 95 (30), 93 (43), 83 (26), 82 (45), 81 (36), 79 (29), 68 (77), 67 (100), 55 (40), 53 (35); second eluent, 262 (M, 2), 152 (20), 151 (17), 135 (12), 121 (18), 107 (25), 95 (30), 93 (47), 83 (23), 82 (47), 81 (35), 79 (31), 68 (75), 67 (100), 55 (42), 53 (35). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 77.82; H, 9.99. Found: C, 77.80; H, 10.02.
(Z)-2,6,10-Trimethyl-5,9-undecadien-2-yl diazoacetate ( $8 \mathbf{8}$ ) was prepared from (Z)-2,6,10-trimethyl-5,9-undecadien-2-ol (obtained from nerylacetone and methylmagnesium bromide, $87 \%$ yield), glyoxylic acid chloride $p$-toluenesulfonylhydrazone, and triethylamine according to the procedure of Corey and Meyers ${ }^{27}$ and isolated in $55 \%$ yield after column chromatography on silica ( $10: 1$ hexanes:ethyl acetate): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.10-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.96$
(m, 6 H), 1.78-1.71 (m, 2 H), 1.66 (br s, 6 H), 1.59 (s, 3 H), 1.45 ( s, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.36-5.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ 7.1, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95$ (s, 1 H), 2.18-2.04 (m, 6 H ), 1.80-1.74 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ not detected), 135.5, 131.6, 124.6, 124.3, 83.4, 46.6, 41.5, 31.9, 26.6, 26.2, 25.7, 23.4, 22.4, 17.6; IR (film) $2106\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1693(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 69.03; H, 9.41; N, 10.06. Found: C, 68.95; H, 9.44; N, 10.12.
cis-4,4,8,12,12-Pentamethyl-3-oxabicyclo[9.1.0]dodec-7-en-2one (9a). To a solution of anhydrous dichloromethane ( 6 mL ) containing $3.2 \mathrm{mg}(7.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ at room temperature was added a 10 mL solution of $\mathbf{8 a}(0.180 \mathrm{~g}, 0.647 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ via syringe pump over 4 h . After addition was complete, the reaction mixture was filtered through a short plug of silica to remove the catalyst, and this plug was rinsed with 15 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After evaporation of the solvent, GC and NMR analyses showed the presence of one major product. Purification by radial chromatography on silica (20:1 hexanes: ethyl acetate) afforded 78 mg of the title compound ( $0.311 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 9.7, $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.43-2.28 (m, 2 H ), 2.10-2.00 (m, 1 H ), $1.92-$ $1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.2,2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 171.2, 135.6, $125.3,81.2,37.4,35.1,31.0,30.3,29.1,27.8,27.0,24.3,23.3,22.2$, 15.1. A COSY experiment shows correlation between absorptions at $\delta 1.41$ and 0.84 , and the coupling constant $(J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ signifies the cis geometry. Mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative abundance) 251 ( $0.4, \mathrm{M}$ $+1), 250(2.3$, M), 195 (5), 181 (5), 152 (34), 123 (21), 109 (34), 107 (25), 95 (37), 93 (26), 82 (82), 81 (78), 79 (28), 69 (36), 68 (86), 67 (100), 55 (32). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 76.75; H, 10.47. Found: C, 76.65 ; H, 10.41 .
(Z)-6,10-Dimethyl-2-phenyl-5,9-undecadien-2-yl diazoacetate (8b) was prepared from (Z)-6,10-dimethyl-2-phenyl-5,9-undecadien-2-ol (obtained from nerylacetone and phenylmagnesium bromide, $95 \%$ yield) according to the procedure of Corey and Meyers ${ }^{27}$ and isolated in $33 \%$ yield after column chromatography on silica ( $30: 1$ hexanes:ethyl acetate): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.34-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-$ $5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71$ (br s, 1 H$), 2.05-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.87$ (s, 3 H ), $1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $7.27-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 4.01 (br s, 1 H ), 2.20-1.93 (m, 8 H ), 1.83 (s, 3 H ), 1.66 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ not detected), 145.4, 135.6, 131.4, 128.4, 127.1, 125.0, 124.9, 124.8, 84.9, 46.2, 43.1, 32.1, 27.0, 25.9, 25.7, 23.5, 22.8, 17.7; IR (film) 2108 $\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1699(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 74.08$; H, 8.29; N, 8.23. Found: C, 73.92; H, 8.34; N, 8.20.
cis-4,8,12,12-Tetramethyl-4-phenyl-3-oxabicyclo[9.1.0]dodec-7-en-2-one ( $9 \mathbf{b}$ ). To a solution of anhydrous dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) containing $3.0 \mathrm{mg}(6.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ at room temperature was added a 10 mL solution of $\mathbf{8 b}(0.209 \mathrm{~g}, 0.615 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ via syringe pump over 4 h . After addition was complete, the reaction mixture was filtered through a short plug of silica, and the plug was rinsed with 15 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After evaporation of the solvent, GC and NMR analyses showed the presence of four major products of which 9 b was dominant ( $45 \%$ ). Carbene dimer formation was minimal, and aromatic cycloaddition did not occur. Purification by radial chromatography on silica (30:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate) afforded 35 mg of a nearly equimolar mixture of the two diastereoisomers of the title compound ( $0.111 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \%$ yield) as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$, $300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.45-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H})$, 5.16 (dd, $J=9.7,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H})$, $1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 1.53$ (d, $J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 0.87 (s, 1.8 H ), 0.80 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}$ ), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta(171.6$, 171.0), (148.1, 147.4), (136.8, 135.9), 128.7, (127.8, 127.7), (126.1, 125.6), (126.0, 125.9), (85.3, 83.6), (37.6, 36.7), (36.5, 35.6), 32.0, $31.9)$, (31.1, 30.7), (30.4, 30.0), (30.0, 29.9), (25.1, 24.9), (24.5, 24.4), $23.45,22.51,(16.2,15.9)$. The coupling constants for the hydrogens at C 1 ( 9.2 and 9.4 Hz for absorptions at $\delta 1.56$ and 1.53 , respectively) are consistent with a cis-cyclopropane geometry for both diastereoisomers. Mass spectrum, $m / e$ (relative abundance): first GC eluent,

313 ( $6, ~ M+1$ ), 312 (24, M), 297 (7), 194 (14), 161 (23), 152 (39), 151 (28), 143 (95), 131 (21), 119 (30), 118 (100), 117 (69), 105 (65), 91 (62), 82 (78), 79 (38), 77 (46); second eluent, 313 (2, M + 1), 312 (8, M), 297 (13), 194 (10), 161 (9), 143 (37), 131 (56), 119 (20), 118 (100), 117 (38), 105 (59), 91 (46), 82 (22), 79 (25), 77 (32).

5,5-Bis(carboethoxy)-cis-2,7-octadien-1-ol (12a). Diethyl allylmalonate ( $9.95 \mathrm{~g}, 50.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in 20 mL of anhydrous THF, was added via syringe to a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(2.21 \mathrm{~g}, 55.2$ mmol ) in 150 mL of THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Stirring was continued for an additional 30 min , at which time the mesylate of 4-(tert-butyldimeth-ylsiloxy)-cis-2-buten-1-ol, dissolved in 20 mL of THF, was added. The solution was allowed to come to room temperature overnight, water was added, the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with brine ( 40 mL ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield the crude product, which was dissolved in 50 mL of THF and treated with 70 mL of a 1.0 M solution of tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF. After stirring for 12 h , water was added, the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether $(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with brine ( 30 mL ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield the crude alcohol. Purification by column chromatography (7:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate) yielded 11.8 g ( $92 \%$ yield) of product as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.78-5.60(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.44-5.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.10$ (comp, 2 H), 4.25-4.13 (comp, 6 H), 2.67-2.20 (comp, 4 H), 1.25 (t, $J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.7,132.3,132.1,125.3$, $119.1,61.3,58.1,57.2,37.0,30.2,13.9$; IR (neat) $3440,1745,1648$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative abundance) 196 (2), 183 (16), 179 (18), 165 (12), 151 (18), 137 (44), 125 (15), 108 (100), 91 (22), 79 (40), 67 (19). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 62.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.20$. Found: C, 62.07; H, 8.23.

5,5-Bis(carboethoxy)-cis-2,7-octadien-1-yl Diazoacetate (10a). Prepared by the one-pot modification of the three-step procedure of Doyle ${ }^{28}$ using 9.00 g ( 33.5 mmol ) of 5,5-bis(carboethoxy)-cis-2,7-octadien-1-ol. The alcohol was dissolved in 100 mL of THF and treated sequentially with diketene $(4.23 \mathrm{~g}, 50.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and a catalytic amount of triethylamine at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , methanesulfonyl azide ( $4.27 \mathrm{~g}, 35.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, triethylamine ( $4.07 \mathrm{~g}, 40.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 12 h , and then lithium hydroxide ( $3.22 \mathrm{~g}, 134 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 100 mL of water at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After the cleavage was complete (monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis), 100 mL of ether was added, the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with brine ( 50 mL ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Removal of solvent under reduced pressure and purification by column chromatography ( $5: 1$ hexanes:ethyl acetate) yielded 7.60 g ( $67 \%$ yield) of the title compound as an intensely yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.70-5.59$ (comp, 2 H ), $5.56-5.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14-5.09$ (comp, 2 H ), 4.75 (bs, 1 H ), $4.70(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.1,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.15$ (comp, 4 H ), $2.69(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.4$, $166.4,132.0,128.0,127.0,119.1,76.6,61.2,60.3,56.9,45.9,36.8$, 13.9; IR (neat) 2110, 1731, 1643. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}$, 56.80; H, 6.55; N, 8.28. Found: C, 56.62; H, 6.48; N, 8.28.

General Procedure for Diazo Decomposition of 10a. The procedure for diazo decomposition with $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ is representative. Diazoacetate 10a ( 170 mg , $500 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in 5 mL of freshly distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and added via syringe pump over 5 h to a solution of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in 5 mL of refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After the addition was complete, the solution was passed through a plug of silica gel to remove the catalyst. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography ( $3: 1$ hexanes:ethyl acetate) to yield 58 mg ( $37 \%$ yield) of 13ac, 45 mg ( $29 \%$ yield) of 13at, and 10 mg ( $6 \%$ yield) of the $\gamma$-lactone 14a, all as colorless oils.

8,8-Bis(carboethoxy)-3-oxa-cis-bicyclo[8.1.0]undec-cis-5-en-2one (13ac): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.57$ (dddd, $J=12.0,5.2$, $2.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.99 (ddddd, $J=12.4,12.0,4.6,2.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ),
(28) Doyle, M. P.; Austin, R. E.; Bailey, A. S.; Dwyer, M. P.; Dyatkin, A. B.; Kalinin, A. V.; Kwan, M. M. Y.; Liras, S.; Oalmann, C. J.; Pieters, R. J.; Protopopova, M. N.; Raab, C. E.; Roos, G. H. P.; Zhou, Q.-L.; Martin, S. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 5763-5775.
4.9 (ddd, $J=15.1,5.2,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62$ (dddd, $J=15.1,3.1,2.9$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.13(\mathrm{comp}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 2.61 (dddd, $J=14.4,4.6,3.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.2,1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.5,7.8,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.2$, $11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.01 (ddd, $J=6.4,5.8,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92$ (ddd, $J=8.6,7.8,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 171.4,171.1$, $170.4,128.4,125.7,62.7,61.4,61.3,58.7,31.0,29.1,20.2,16.3,14.1$, 8.4; IR (neat) $1755(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1654(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative abundance) 199 (3), 195 (25), 191 (16), 173 (17), 166 (22), 163 (12), 145 (18), 140 (12), 138 (35), 127 (15), 117 (27), 107 (21), 91 (38), 81 (54), 79 (42), 55 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 61.92; H, 7.15. Found: C, 61.78; H, 7.13.

8,8-Bis(carboethoxy)-3-oxa-trans-bicyclo[8.1.0]undec-cis-5-en-2one (13at): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.66$ (dddd, $J=11.0,10.2$, $4.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,11.0,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=12.9,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.11$ (comp, 4 H ), $2.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67$ (ddd, $J=9.0,7.5,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.0,4.9,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.50-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.8,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.61(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.5,5.8,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 173.3,171.6,169.5,129.9,125.8$, $62.4,61.7,61.3,56.5,40.9,30.8,21.7,19.1,13.9,13.8,11.7$; IR (neat) $1755(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1662(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / e$ (relative abundance) 199 (2), 195 (2), 191 (20), 173 (40), 166 (13), 163 (15), 145 (21), 140 (19), 138 (13), 127 (28), 117 (30), 107 (27), 91 (54), 81 (52), 79 (61), 55 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 61.92 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.15$. Found: C, 61.78; H, 7.20.
syn-6-[1,1-Bis(carboethoxy)-3-buten-1-yl]-3-oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-2-one (14a): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.65$ (dddd, $J=16.8$, $10.2,7.4,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.13 (ddd, $J=16.8,3.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12$ (ddd, $J=10.2,2.9,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.28-4.12 (comp, 5 H), 2.76 (dddd, $J=14.4,7.4,1.2,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.71 (dddd, $J=14.4,7.2,1.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.24 (dddd, $J=6.2,6.0$, $5.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.9,6.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $14.8,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89$ (dd, $J=14.8,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{dddd}, J=$ $7.0,6.2,6.1,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 174.1,170.6$ (2), 131.9, 119.5, $65.7,61.5_{3}, 61.4_{6}, 56.9,37.9,26.4,22.8,22.4,17.6,13.9_{8}, 13.9_{2}$; IR (neat) $1755(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1644\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right.$; mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative abundance) 199 (51), 191 (34), 179 (37), 163 (20), 152 (79), 144 (31), 133 (40), 123 (67), 108 (48), 85 (81), 79 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 61.92 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.15$. Found: C, 61.82; H, 7.09.

5,5-Bis(carboethoxy)-7-methyl-cis-2,7-octadien-1-ol (12b). Diethyl (2-methyl-2-propen-1-yl)malonate (11b) was prepared from NaH $(0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 120 mL of freshly distilled THF to which was added a $10-\mathrm{mL}$ THF solution of diethyl malonate $(3.07 \mathrm{~g}, 19.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and, after 30 min at room temperature, a $10-\mathrm{mL}$ THF solution of 3-bromo-2-methylpropene $(2.71 \mathrm{~g}, 20.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise over 10 min . After the solution was stirred, for 24 h diethyl ether ( 250 mL ) was added, and the resulting solution was washed with 190 mL of water. The aqueous phase was washed with ether $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then with ethyl acetate $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic solution was washed with brine ( 150 mL ) and then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure yielded a 4.5:1 mixture of monoto dialkylated malonate. Purification by chromatography on silica gel (5:1 hexanes:EtOAc) furnished 2.90 g ( $70 \%$ yield) of diethyl (2-methyl-2-propen-1-yl)malonate (11b) as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 4.79-4.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{t}$, $J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

To a gray suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \mathrm{mmol})$ stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 120 mL of freshly distilled THF was added a $10-\mathrm{mL}$ solution of diethyl (2-methyl-2-propen-1-yl)malonate $(2.80 \mathrm{~g}, 13.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , then warmed to room temperature for 15 min , and cooled once again to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, at which time a $10-\mathrm{mL}$ solution of 4-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-cis-2-buten-1-yl methanesulfonate $(3.43 \mathrm{~g}, 13.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise over 10 min . The resulting mixture was warmed to room temperature, and stirring was continued for an additional 24 h . Diethyl ether ( 150 mL ) was added, and the mixture was washed with water ( 120 mL ). The organic phase was then washed with brine $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over
anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield 4.64 g of the TBDMS derivative of $\mathbf{1 2 b}(90 \%)$ as a slightly yellow oil. After dissolving in 125 mL of THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 1.0 M solution of tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in THF (18 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added with stirring over 5 min . The resulting orange solution was warmed to room temperature, and stirring was continued for 25 h . Purification by chromatography on silica gel (10:1 $\rightarrow 0: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc) afforded 2.03 g ( $61 \%$ yield) of $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.77-5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49-5.42$ (m, 1 H), 4.88-4.87 (m, 1 H ), 4.76-4.75 (m, 1 H$), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69$ (dt, $J=7.6,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.67 (dd, $J=1.4,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.57 (s, 1 H), $1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 171.3$, $140.5,132.0,126.0,115.6,61.4,58.3,56.9,40.5,30.4,23.1,13.9$; IR (film) 3446, 1739, 1720, $1645 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}$, 63.36; H, 8.51. Found: C, 63.17; H, 8.42.

5,5-Bis(carboethoxy)-7-methyl-cis-2,7-octadien-1-yl Diazoacetate (10b). To alcohol $\mathbf{1 2 b}(1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 5.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 mL of THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added freshly distilled diketene ( $0.723 \mathrm{~g}, 8.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( 6.8 mmol ) followed by methanesulfonyl azide ( 0.726 $\mathrm{g}, 5.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting orange solution was warmed to room temperature, and stirring was continued for 37 h . The reaction mixture was then cooled with an ice bath, and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.546,22.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The resulting brown solution was stirred for 2.5 h , then poured into ether ( 70 mL ) and washed with water ( 30 mL ). The aqueous phase was washed with ether $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then with EtOAc $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic solution was washed with brine ( 30 mL ) and then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the resulting orange oil was chromatographically purified on silica gel (hexanes:EtOAc 10:1 $\rightarrow 2: 1$ ) to yield $1.16 \mathrm{~g}(57 \%$ yield from 12b) of a light yellow oil identified as 10b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.66-5.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56-5.48$ (, 1 H ), 4.86-4.85 (m, 1 H ), 4.72-4.71 (m, 1 H ), 4.68 (br s, 1 H ), 4.67 (dd, $J=6.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.69$ (comp, 2 H ), 2.69 (d, $J=0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.4,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 171.0,140.4$, 128.4, 126.9, 115.8, 61.4, 60.6, 56.6, 46.1, 40.2, 30.3, 23.1, 14.0; IR (film) $2112\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1733(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1645(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, $57.94 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.87 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.95$. Found: C, $57.83 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.78; N, 8.01.

Diazo decomposition of 10b followed the same procedure previously described for 10a using $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst. Evaporation of the solvent left a viscous oil that was subjected to GC analysis. Chromatographic purification on silica gel (10:1 $\rightarrow 2: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc) provided a clear colorless oil.

8,8-Bis(carboethoxy)-10-methyl-3-oxa-cis-bicyclo[8.1.0]undec-cis-5-en-2-one (13bc): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.52$ (dddd, $J=$ $12.0,5.0,2.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.94 (ddddd, $J=12.8,12.0,4.6,2.7,1.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.1,5.0,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.1$, $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.04$ (comp, 4 H ), 3.10 (dd, $J=14.5,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 2.78 (dddd, $J=14.5,4.6,2.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.56 (dd, $J=15.6$, $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 172.4,170.9,170.3,127.6,125.6,62.7$, $61.4,61.3,57.7,34.5,30.0,28.6,23.5,23.1,19.6,14.0,13.8$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 1731, $1601 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 62.95 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.46. Found: C, 62.78; H, 7.37.
syn-6-[1,1-Bis(carboethoxy)-3-methyl-3-buten-1-yl]-3-oxabicyclo-[3.1.0]hexan-2-one (14b): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 4.88$ (quin, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76-4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 4.31-4.08 (comp, 5 H ), 2.83 (d, $J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78$ (d, $J=$ $15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.26-2.13 (comp, 2 H ), 2.01 (dd, $J=14.9,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $1.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.9,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.48(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 174.1,171.2,171.1,140.2,115.9,65.7,61.7$, $61.5,56.3,41.3,26.4,23.1,22.8,22.4,17.7,13.94,13.8 ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $1765,1725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 62.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.46$. Found: C, 62.80; H, 7.43.
$\boldsymbol{O}, \boldsymbol{O}, \boldsymbol{O}$-Triallylpentaerythrityl Diazoacetate (15). To pentaerythritol triallyl ether ( $5.86 \mathrm{~g}, 22.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 4$ mmol ) in 50 mL of HPLC-grade THF cooled at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added via
syringe freshly distilled diketene ( $4.62 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) over 5 min . The resulting light orange-brown solution was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then warmed to room temperature. After 18 h triethylamine $(5.57 \mathrm{~g}$, 55 mmol ) and methanesulfonyl azide ( $6.679 \mathrm{~g}, 55.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added via syringe, and the solution was stirred for 18 h at room temperature. The diazoacetoacetate was isolated, after adding 25 mL of water, by extraction with ether $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with water $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude dark orange diazoacetoacetate was generally used without further purification in the subsequent acetyl cleavage step. Purification by column chromatography over silica gel (4:1 hexanes: EtOAc) afforded the diazoacetoacetate as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 5.95-5.78(\mathrm{comp}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.2,2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.20-5.12$ (comp, 3 H ), 4.26 (s, 2 H ), 3.98-3.92 (comp, 6 H), 3.44 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.47 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Acetyl cleavage of the diazoacetoacetate was performed in THF (8 $\mathrm{mL})$ to which was added $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6.71 \mathrm{~g}, 159 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of water. The dark brown solution was stirred at room temperature for 15 min and then extracted with ether $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with water $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure 5.96 g of crude diazoacetate was obtained. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel ( $2: 1$ hexanes:ethyl acetate) afforded the title compound as a yellow liquid $(5.44 \mathrm{~g}, 16.8 \mathrm{mmol}$, $73 \%$ yield from the alcohol): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.86$ (ddt, $J=17.2,10.4,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.15$ (dddd, $J=10.4,1.6,1.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.94 (ddd, $J=5.3,1.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 162.3(\mathrm{~s}), 134.8(\mathrm{~d}), 116.3(\mathrm{t}), 72.2(\mathrm{t}), 68.8(\mathrm{t}), 64.2(\mathrm{t})$, 44.5 (d), 33.2 (s); $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2114\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1693(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1647(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 59.24 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.46 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.64$. Found: C, 59.18; H, 7.41; N, 8.57.

5,5-Di(2-propen-1-oxymethyl)-3,7-dioxabicyclo[9.1.0]decan-2one (16). To a refluxing solution of anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing catalyst ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added diazoacetate $\mathbf{1 5}$ ( $324 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{h}$. After addition was complete, the reaction solution was refluxed for an additional hour, then cooled to room temperature and filtered through a short plug of silica gel to remove the catalyst. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was analyzed directly by GC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR methods. Product isolation of the colorless liquid was achieved by column chromatography on silica gel ( $4: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.88$ (ddt, $\left.J=17.4,10.5,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.86$ (ddt, $J=17.3,10.4,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.28-5.21 (comp, 2 H ), $5.17-5.13$ (comp, 2 H), 4.68 (d, $J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.27 (d, $J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.23 (dd, $J=11.7,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92$ (dt, $J=5.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $13.6,7.9,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{q}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.06(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.0,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 173.4$ ( s$), 134.8$ (d), 134.6 (d), 116.5 ( t$), 116.3$ (t), 76.3 ( t$), 73.6$ ( t$), 72.2$ (t), $70.7(\mathrm{t}), 70.0(\mathrm{t}), 69.8(\mathrm{t}), 66.1(\mathrm{t}), 44.5(\mathrm{~s}), 19.9(\mathrm{~d}), 19.2(\mathrm{~d}), 12.2(\mathrm{t}) ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1723(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1647(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative abundance) 199 (1), 197 (4), 157 (7), 139 (22), 127 (22), 99 (100), 97 (20), 83 (27), 82 (24), 81 (51), 71 (35), 55 (77). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 64.84; H, 8.16. Found: C, 64.61; H, 8.20.
$\mathbf{1 , 2}$-Benzenedimethanol. To a stirring solution of phthalide (25.0 $\mathrm{g}, 186 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 350 mL of THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 225 mL of a 1.0 M solution of LAH in THF. This solution was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight, and the reaction was quenched by the sequential addition of 6.5 mL of water, 6.5 mL of $15 \%$ aqueous NaOH , and 19.5 mL of water. The solid was removed by filtration and washed with 300 mL of ethyl acetate. The solution was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield 23.9 g ( $93 \%$ yield) of 1,2 -benzenedimethanol as a pale yellow crystalline solid, $\mathrm{mp} 63-64^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit $\mathrm{mp}^{29} 63-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

2-(2-Methyl-2-propen-1-yloxymethyl)benzyl Alcohol. Sodium hydride ( $2.67 \mathrm{~g}, 66.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ dispersion in oil) was washed with
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hexanes and suspended in 250 mL of THF, and the suspension was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this vigorously stirred suspension was added $1,2-$ benzenedimethanol ( $21.5 \mathrm{~g}, 155 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 100 mL of THF. After addition was complete, stirring was continued for an additional 15 min , whereupon methallyl bromide ( $6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 44.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 10 mL of THF was added in one portion via syringe. The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight, and the reaction was quenched by addition of 80 mL of $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Filtration, solvent removal, and purification via column chromatography ( $2: 1$ hexanes:ethyl acetate) yielded 8.28 g ( $97 \%$ yield) of the title compound as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.41-7.29(\mathrm{comp}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), 2.98 (bs, 1 H ), $1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.5$, $141.5,137.0,130.9,129.8,128.9,114.0,75.3,71.9,64.6,20.6$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3450,3109,2882,1658,1484,1082,916 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative abundance) 193 (M, 2), 174 (8), 163 (11), 135 (9), 119 (100), 104 (53), 91 (99), 77 (51), 65 (18), 55 (14). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 74.97; H, 8.39. Found: C, 74.82; H, 8.46. The column was then flushed with 750 mL of $100 \%$ ethyl acetate to yield 14.43 g ( $94 \%$ recovery) of pure 1,2-benzenedimethanol.

2-(2-Propen-1-yloxymethyl)benzyl Alcohol. Sodium hydride (2.48 $\mathrm{g}, 62.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ dispersion in oil) was treated with 1,2 -benzenedimethanol ( $20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 144 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as in the previous procedure, and the resulting anion was alkylated with allyl bromide ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 41.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Workup and purification via column chromatography (2:1 hexanes: ethyl acetate) yielded 7.01 g ( $95 \%$ yield) of the title compound as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.43-7.29$ (comp, 4 H ), 5.96 (ddt, $J=17.2,10.4,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.31 (ddd, $J=17.2,2.7,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.4,2.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63$ (s, 2 H ), 4.08 (dt, $J=5.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58$ (bs, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 140.3,135.7,133.9,129.8,129.4,128.7,127.8,117.8,71.1$, 71.0, 63.4; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3458,3082,2864,1746,1466,1422,1072$, $1012,959 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative abundance) 160 (10), 120 (100), 91 (84), 77 (62), 65 (65). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, $74.13 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.92$. Found: C, 74.04; H, 7.68. The column was then flushed with 750 mL of $100 \%$ ethyl acetate to yield 13.29 g ( $93 \%$ recovery) of pure 1,2 -benzenedimethanol.

2-(2-Methyl-2-propen-1-yloxymethyl)benzyl diazoacetate (17a) was prepared by a one-pot modification of the procedure of Doyle ${ }^{28}$ in which 2-(2-methyl-2-propen-1-yloxymethyl)benzyl alcohol ( $4.57 \mathrm{~g}, 23.7$ mmol), dissolved in 100 mL of THF, was treated with triethylamine $(240 \mathrm{mg})$ and diketene $(2.48 \mathrm{~g}, 29.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was allowed to come to room temperature overnight, at which point ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated complete conversion of the starting alcohol to the desired acetoacetate. The solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, triethylamine ( $2.88 \mathrm{~g}, 28.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methanesulfonyl azide ( $3.15 \mathrm{~g}, 26.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added sequentially, the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature, and stirring was continued until ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis indicated that the diazo transfer reaction was complete. The solution was again cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{LiOH}(2.28 \mathrm{~g}, 94.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, dissolved in 100 mL of water, was added. The temperature of the solution was maintained at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis revealed the cleavage to be complete, at which time 100 mL of ether was added, the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with brine ( $1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Removal of solvent under reduced pressure and purification by column chromatography ( $6: 1$ hexanes:ethyl acetate) yielded 3.89 g ( $63 \%$ yield) of pure product as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.43-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77$ (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 167.4, 141.9, 136.7, 134.2, 129.2, 129.0, 128.4, 128.0, 112.4, 74.4, 69.5, 46.3, 19.5; IR (neat), 2121 $\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1702(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 64.60$; H, 6.20; N, 10.76. Found: C, 64.50; H, 6.23; N, 10.63.

2-(2-Propen-1-yloxymethyl)benzyl diazoacetate (17b) was prepared by the one-pot procedure described above and purified by column chromatography ( $6: 1$ hexanes:ethyl acetate) to yield 2.23 g ( $65 \%$ yield) of pure product as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.42-$ 7.26 (comp, 4H), 5.95 (ddt, $J=17.2,10.4,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32$ (ddd, $J$
$=17.2,3.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.4,3.0,1.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=5.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.2,136.4,134.1,129.1,128.9,127.9$, 117.1, 69.6, 63.8, 46.1; IR (neat), $2104\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1693(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 63.40; H, 5.73; N, 11.38. Found; C, 63.47; H, 5.71; N, 11.34.

General Procedure for Formation of Macrocyclic Lactones from 17. In a typical experiment, 1.00 mmol of diazoacetate was dissolved in 10 mL of freshly distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and added via syringe pump over 10 h to $3.7 \mathrm{mg}(1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in 10 mL of refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Upon completion of addition, the solution was passed through a short plug of silica gel to remove the catalyst. The plug was washed with 100 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the solvent was removed, and the crude reaction mixture was purified by flash chromatography in the solvent system indicated.
5,6-Benzo-3,8-dioxa-10-methyl-cis-bicyclo[8.1.0]undecan-2-one (18a): $6: 1$ hexanes:ethyl acetate; $74 \%$ yield with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$; mp $69.0-69.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.33-7.28$ (comp, 4 H ), $5.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28$ (d, $\left.J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 1.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.5,136.8,135.8,131.1,130.7,128.8,128.4,73.2$, $72.2,66.3,27.6,27.5,23.8,20.5$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): 2969, 2882, 1719, 1283, $1117 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative abundance) $232\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3\right), 175$ (8), 162 (11), 145 (13), 120 (63), 104 (100), 91 (52), 55 (94). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 72.39; H, 6.94. Found: C, 72.26; H, 6.90. The cyclopropane moiety was determined to have the cis-configuration due to the observation of an NOE interaction between the proton adjacent to the carbonyl group and the methyl group.

5,6-Benzo-3,8-dioxa-cis-bicyclo[8.1.0]undecanan-2-one (18b): 6:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate; $63 \%$ yield with $\mathrm{CuPF}_{6} ; \mathrm{mp} 64-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.36-7.22(\mathrm{comp}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18$ (d, $J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73$ (d, $J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7$, $9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.1,7.9,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.28 (ddd, $J=6.1,5.8,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.10 (ddd, $J=8.1,7.9,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.8,136.9,135.8,131.1,129.0$, 128.5, 72.7, 68.8, 66.5, 20.7, 19.9, 13.4; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 2882, 1728, 1291, $1108 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative abundance) $218\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 4\right), 145$ (12), 129 (28), 120 (100), 99 (43), 91 (39), 78 (15), 65 (11), 55 (17). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 71.54; H, 6.47. Found: C, $71.48 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.43.

Intra- versus Intermolecular Cyclopropenation of 17a. Diazoacetate $\mathbf{1 7 a}(130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.500 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 5 mL of freshly distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and added via syringe pump at $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{h}$ to a solution of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and variable amounts of methyl methallyl ether in 5 mL of refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After the addition was complete, the reaction solution was passed through a short plug of silica to remove the catalyst. The reaction mixture was directly analyzed by GC and, following evaporation of the solvent and excess ether, by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Chromatographic separation of the products on silica (5:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate) provided intermolecular cyclopropanation products 21 and ylide rearrangement product 22 in $83 \%$ isolated yield from the reaction performed in methylmethallyl ether as the solvent.

2-(2-Methyl-2-propen-1-yloxymethyl)benzyl 2-Methyl-2-methoxy methylcyclopropanecarboxylate (21). Colorless oil; identified from the mixture of $\boldsymbol{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{Z}$ isomers; 21E: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $7.43-7.25$ (comp, 4 H ), 5.25 (d, $J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.19 (d, $J=12.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.02-4.99$ (m, 1 H$), 4.94-4.91$ (m, 1 H), 4.57 (s, 2 H ), 3.94 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77$ (d, $J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.72 (dd, $J=8.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.25 (s, 3 H ), 1.12 (dd, $J=5.6,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.01 (dd, $J=8.2,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H); 21Z: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 7.43-7.24$ (comp, 4 H ), 5.25 (d, $J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81-4.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 4.75-4.73 (m, 1 H), 4.58 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.42 (d, J = $10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.22 (s, 3 H ), 1.77 (s, 3 H ), 1.64 (dd, $J=7.9,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $0.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. trans-3-(2-Methyl-2-propen-1-oxy)-4-vinyl-8,9-benzo-1,6-dioxocyclodecan-2-one (22): colorless oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.52-7.21$ (comp, 4 H ), 5.86 (ddd, $J=$ $17.2,10.4,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.37 (s, 2 H ), 5.20 (ddd, $J=17.2,1.6,1.0$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16$ (ddd, $J=10.4,1.6,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96-4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.88-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{t}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,3.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 171.5,141.6,136.1,134.9,134.8,131.6,128.6,128.1,127.9$, $117.7,112.9,77.8,74.9,72.2,68.8,66.8,46.8,19.6$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 71.67; H, 8.23. Found: C, $71.81 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.18$.
cis-4-(2-Methyl-2-propen-1-yloxy)-2-buten-1-ol (23). Sodium hydride ( $887 \mathrm{mg}, 22.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ dispersion in oil) was treated with cis-2-buten-1,4-diol ( $5.22 \mathrm{~g}, 59.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure for preparation of 2-(2-methyl-2-propen-1-yloxymethyl)benzyl alcohol, and the resulting anion was alkylated with methallyl bromide $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}$, 14.8 mmol ) to yield, after workup and purification via column chromatography ( $2: 1$ hexanes:ethyl acetate), 2.06 g ( $98 \%$ yield) of the title compound as a colorless liquid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 5.83-5.73 (comp, 2H), 4.97 (bs, 1H), 4.91 (bs, 1H), 4.20 (d, $J=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 141.2,131.7,127.3,112.0,73.7$, 64.8, 57.8, 18.8; IR (neat) $3388,2921,2853,1647,1239,1086,1035$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / z$ (relative abundance) $142(\mathrm{M}, 8), 124$ (63), 95 (55), 55 (75). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 67.57; H, 9.92. Found: C, 67.61; H, 9.87.

2-[cis-4-(2-Methyl-2-propen-1-yloxy)-2-buten-1-yloxymethyl]benzyl Alcohol (24). cis-4-(2-Methyl-2-propen-1-yloxy)-2-buten-1-ol (2.06 $\mathrm{g}, 14.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 50 mL of freshly distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, triethylamine ( $1.69 \mathrm{~g}, 16.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $1.74 \mathrm{~g}, 15.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added via syringe and the solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The solution was diluted with 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, water was added, and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered, and the solvent was removed to yield 2.94 g ( $92 \%$ yield) of product that was taken on without further purification.

To a suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(775 \mathrm{mg}, 19.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ dispersion in oil) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 125 mL of THF was added $6.23 \mathrm{~g}(45.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1,2benzenedimethanol dissolved in 40 mL of THF. The above mesylate $(2.84 \mathrm{~g}, 12.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ of the methallyl ether of 2-buten-1,4-diol was dissolved in 20 mL of THF and added in one portion via syringe. The solution was allowed to come to room temperature overnight, water was added, the layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine $(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield a pale yellow oil. Column chromatography ( $3: 2$ hexanes:ethyl acetate) yielded $2.94 \mathrm{~g}(87 \%$ yield $)$ of pure product as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.42-7.29$ (comp, 4H), 5.80-5.74 (comp, 2 H ), 4.95 (bs, 1 H ), 4.90 (bs, 1 H ), 4.67 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.62 (s, 2 H ), $4.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68$ (bs, 1 H ), $1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.0,140.4$, $135.7,130.2,129.9,129.5,128.8,128.4,127.8,112.4,74.2,71.1,65.8$, $65.4,63.5,19.5$; IR (neat) $3440,3083,3032,2930,2857,1650$, and $1623 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / e$ (relative abundance) 172 (6), 135 (10), 121 (82), 104 (24), 91 (100), 77 (64), 69 (23), 55 (81). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 73.25; $\mathrm{H}, 8.45$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 73.16 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.37$. Washing of the column with $100 \%$ ethyl acetate allowed recovery of 4.36 g ( $98 \%$ ) of excess 1,2-benzenedimethanol.

2-[cis-4-(2-Methyl-2-propen-1-yloxy)-2-buten-1-yloxymethyl]benzyl diazoacetate (25) was prepared by the one-pot procedure described for $10 \mathbf{a}$ and purified by column chromatography (7:1 hexanes:ethyl acetate) to yield $2.68 \mathrm{~g}(62 \%)$ of pure product as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.78-5.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73$ (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.3,141.9,136.3,134.2,129.6$, $129.2,129.0,128.9,128.3,128.0,112.1,112.0,74.0,69.8,65.9,65.3$, 63.8, 46.1, 19.3; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 2121\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1693(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 65.44; H, 6.71; $\mathrm{N}, 8.48$. Found: C, 65.26; H, 6.71; N, 8.41.

Diazo Decomposition of 25. To a solution of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(3.7$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in 10 mL of refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added diazoacetate $\mathbf{2 5}(330 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at a rate of
$2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{h}$ using a syringe pump. After addition was complete, the reaction mixture was passed through a plug of silica gel to remove the catalyst. The resulting solution was purified by flash chromatography on silica ( 8.1 hexanes:ethyl acetate) to yield 176 mg ( $58 \%$ yield) of 26 and 34 mg ( $12 \%$ yield) of 27 . For reactions involving dirhodium(II) catalysts the same procedure was employed except that addition of $\mathbf{2 5}$ occurred at a rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{h}$.
(Z)-5,6-Benzo-3,8,13-trioxa-15-methylbicyclo[13.1.0]-cis-hexadec-10-en-2-one (26): white solid, $\mathrm{mp} 96-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.45$ (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.39-7.28$ (comp, 3H), 5.92-5.78 (comp, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.7,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.2,139.2,134.5,132.1,132.0,130.3$, $130.2,129.6,128.9,73.0,69.7,66.8,66.4,66.1,28.2,26.8,23.5,20.1$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): 2873,1728,1466,1414,1169,1090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative abundance) $302\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 233$ (13), 215 (18), 183 (8), 175 (9), 169 (3), 162 (5), 143 (7), 129 (10), 121 (39), 113 (100), 104 (70) 91 (48), 78 (20), 55 (59). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 71.50; H, 7.34. Found: C, 71.38; H, 7.36 .
cis-3-(2-Methyl-2-propen-1-oxy)-4-vinyl-8,9-benzo-1,6-dioxocy-clodecan-2-one (27): colorless oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $7.52-7.21$ (comp, 4 H ), 5.86 (ddd, $J=17.2,10.4,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37$ (s, 2 H ), 5.20 (ddd, $J=17.2,1.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.4$, $1.6,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96-4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $3.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 171.5,141.6,136.1$, $134.9,134.8,131.6,128.6,128.1,127.9,117.7,112.9,77.8,74.9,72.2$, 68.8, 66.8, 46.8, 19.6. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 71.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.34$. Found: C, 71.48; H, 7.27. The cis geometry of 27 was established by NOE experiments on the lactone formed by hydrogenolysis, which conveniently removed the 1,2-benzenedimethyl linker.

X-ray Structure of 26.. Crystals grew as colorless prisms from hexanes:ethyl acetate. The data crystal was cut from a larger crystal and had approximate dimensions: $0.38 \times 0.50 \times 0.50 \mathrm{~mm}$. Colorless crystals of $26\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ were monoclinic, space group $C 2 / c$, with $a$ $=28.055(2), b=11.712(1), c=9.883(1) \AA, b=98.425(5)^{\circ}, V=$ 3211.3(3) $\AA^{3}, Z=8, \rho \mathrm{calc}=1.25 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. Data were collected out to $2 \theta=60^{\circ}$ by the $\omega$-scan technique on a Siemens P4 diffractometer at $-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using graphite monochromatized Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ ). The 9983 reflections were measured of which 4690 reflections were unique $\left[R_{\text {int }}\left(F^{2}\right)=0.022\right.$ ]. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ with anisotropic displacement parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms. The hydrogen atom positions were observed in a $\Delta F$ map and refined with isotropic displacement parameters. The final $R_{w}\left(F^{2}\right)=0.109$ with a goodness of fit $=1.022$ for refining 288 parameters. The conventional $R(F)=0.0430$ for 3495 reflections with $F_{\mathrm{o}}>4\left(s\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right)$. Data reduction, decay correction, structure solution, and refinement were done using the SHELXTL/PC softwarepackage. ${ }^{30}$ Tables of positional and thermal parameters, bond lengths, and angles and torsion angles and figures are located in the Supporting Information.

Preparation of Alcohol 28. To a continuously stirred solution of $\mathrm{NaH}(0.71 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ dispersion in oil) in 150 mL of anhydrous THF was added 1,2-benzenedimethanol ( $9.60 \mathrm{~g}, 69.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 50 mL of THF. After cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 3-bromo-2-methylpropene ( $2.68 \mathrm{~g}, 19.9$ mmol ) was added by pipette, and the resulting solution was allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring for 3 days. Water ( 50 mL ) was added, and the solution was extracted three times with $20-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of ethyl acetate. The combined extract was washed with 40 mL of brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the product mixture was refrigerated overnight whereupon excess 1,2-benzenedimethanol crystallized and was filtered ( $5.75 \mathrm{~g}, 41.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ recovery). The residual oil (3.67
(30) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL/PC (Version 5.03); Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments, Inc.: Madison, WI, U.S.A., 1994.
$\mathrm{g}, 19.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ yield) was identified as 2-(2-methyl-2-propen-1yloxymethyl)benzyl alcohol. This alcohol was dissolved in 60 mL of anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to which was added, after cooling to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, triethylamine ( $2.89 \mathrm{~g}, 28.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by methanesulfonyl chloride $(2.30 \mathrm{~g}, 20.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. Stirring was continued at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 45 min , whereupon water ( 20 mL ) was added and the organic layer was separated. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 30 mL ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to provide 5.02 g of mesylate ester ( $18.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.47-7.35$ (comp, 4 H), 5.39 (s, 2H), 5.00-4.98 (m, 1 H ), 4.95-4.93 (m, 1 H ), 4.59, 3.95 (s, 2 H ), $2.92(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{H}), 1.77$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).

To sodium hydride ( $0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 28 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ dispersion in oil) suspended in 65 mL of anhydrous THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 1,2benzenedimethanol ( $8.94 \mathrm{~g}, 64.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) slowly but continuously. After stirring at room temperature for 15 min the previously prepared mesylate ester ( $5.02 \mathrm{~g}, 18.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added neat, and the resultant mixture was stirred for 20 h . Water ( 40 mL ) was added, and the solution was extracted with two $30-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of ethyl acetate. The combined organic extract was washed with brine ( 30 mL ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford 4.33 g of colorless 28 ( $13.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%$ yield) following crystallization of unreacted 1,2 -benzenedimethanol ( 5.91 g , $42.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ recovery). Spectral data were consistent with assigned structure 28: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.43-7.27$ (comp, 12 H ), $4.99-4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68$ (s, 2 H ), 4.67 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89$ (quin, $J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.75(\mathrm{dt}, J=0.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 142.1$, 136.6, 135.9, 135.7, 130.1, 129.6, 129.0, 128.9, 128.8, 128.0, 127.94, $127.9_{2}, 113.1,112.4,74.2,71.3,69.8,69.6,63.7,19.6$; IR (film) 3462 , 3075, 2870, 1070, 1008, 777, 759, $752 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative abundance) 222 (14), 174 (22), 145 (12), 131 (15), 121 (32), 120 (21), 119 (80), 118 (25), 105 (39), 104 (83), 93 (49), 91 (100), 77 (47), 55 (21). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 76.89 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.74$. Found: C, 76.93; H, 7.68 .

Preparation of diazoacetate 29 was accomplished by a modification of the three-step reaction described by Doyle ${ }^{28}$ in which 28 ( 5.95 g , 19.1 mmol ), dissolved in 65 mL of THF, was treated with triethylamine $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and, after cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diketene ( $2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 26.7$ mmol ) with continued stirring for 20 h , then triethylamine ( 2.13 g , $21.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and methanesulfonyl azide ( $2.78 \mathrm{~g}, 23.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 20 h after which water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the resulting mixture was extracted with five $40-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of ethyl acetate. The combined extract was washed with brine ( 50 mL ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Column chromatographic purification on silica gel ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc) provided the diazoacetoacetate ( $6.54 \mathrm{~g}, 15.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%$ yield) as an orange oil. This purified diazoacetoacetate was dissolved in THF ( 50 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $\mathrm{LiOH}(1.48 \mathrm{~g}, 61.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 50 mL ) was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then added to the reaction solution. After the solution was stirred for 6 h , ethyl acetate ( 40 mL ) was added, and the organic solution was washed twice with $30-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of water and with brine ( 40 mL ). After drying over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Column chromatographic purification on silica gel ( $3: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc) provided 3.89 g of a light yellow oil identified as 29 ( $10.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \%$ overall yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.43-7.25$ (comp, 8 H), $5.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.99-4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75$ (br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.65 (s, 2 H ), 4.63 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.54 (s, 2 H ), 3.90 (br s, 2 H ), 1.74 (br s, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 166.4, 142.0, 136.5, 136.1, 134.2, 129.2, 129.1, 128.6, 128.4, 128.0, 127.7, 127.7, 127.6, 112.4, 112.2, 74.1, 69.9, 69.8, 69.4, 63.8, 46.1, 19.4; IR (film) $2112\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$, $1695(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1640(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : C, 69.43; H, 6.36, N, 7.36. Found: C, 69.45; H, 6.32; N, 7.40.

Diazo Decomposition of 29. To $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(3.7 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mol}$ \%) dissolved in 5.0 mL of refluxing anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, contained in an oven-dried two-neck round bottom flask fitted with a condenser and septum, was added diazoacetate $27(0.380 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5.0 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ via syringe pump at a rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{h}$. The reaction solution was filtered through a plug of silica to remove the catalyst, and solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to produce 320 mg of product ( $0.909 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ yield) consisting of a mixture of
$\mathbf{3 0 Z}$ and $\mathbf{3 0 E}$. These isomers were separated by column chromatography on silica gel ( $9: 1$ hexanes:ethyl acetate) to give 156 mg ( 0.443 $\mathrm{mmol}, 44 \%$ yield) of pure $\mathbf{3 0 Z}$ and 69 mg ( $0.196 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ yield) of pure 30E. In $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ catalyzed reactions, these same products were isolated in 57 and $7 \%$ yield, respectively. For 30Z: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.48-7.25$ (comp, 8 H ), 5.69 (d, $J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.71 (d, $J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19$ (dd, $J=5.6,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 172.2,137.3,136.7,136.1$, 134.3, 131.2, 130.4, 129.0, 128.9, 128.7, 128.3, 127.8, 127.7, 71.2, 70.9, 70.6, 69.2, 65.0, 26.9, 25.9, 22.2, 18.0; IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1716(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 1173, $1078 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. For $30 E: \mathrm{mp} 110.5-112.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $7.40-7.25$ (comp, 6 H ), 5.51 (d, $J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.96 (d, $J$ $=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $2.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.22$ (s, 3 H ), $1.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.7,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 171.5,137.8,136.54,136.49,133.6$, $131.1,131.0,129.44,129.4_{3}, 129.3,128.1,128.0_{8}, 128.0_{5}, 78.4,71.1$, $70.2,69.2,65.5,27.3,25.6,15.6,14.5$; IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 1724, 1170, 1111 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathbf{3 0 Z} / \mathbf{E}): ~ C, 74.98, ; \mathrm{H}, 6.86$. Found: C, 74.91; H, 6.78.

Preparation of alcohol 31 followed the same procedure as that for the formation of $\mathbf{2 8}$. Alcohol $\mathbf{2 8}(4.31 \mathrm{~g}, 13.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 75 mL of anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.09 \mathrm{~g}, 20.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and then methanesulfonyl chloride ( $1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 15.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to form the methanesulfonate in $94 \%$ yield. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum confirmed this product assignment with an absorption at $\delta 5.35$. Treatment of this methanesulfonate ester with the sodium salt of 1,2 -benzenedimethanol gave, after a reaction time of 5 h and workup, 4.65 g of the desired alcohol ( $10.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.42-$ 7.23 (comp, 12 H ), 4.98-4.96 (m, 1 H), 4.90-4.88 (m, 1 H ), 4.60 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.58_{5}(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.57_{6}(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87$ (br s, 2 H ), $3.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 141.9,140.2,136.4,136.3,136.1,135.7,129.7,129.1,129.0$, 128.9, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 127.94, 127.8. , 127.7, 127.6, 112.3, 112.2, $74.1,71.0,69.7_{3}, 69.6_{9}, 69.6_{6}, 69.3,63.2,19.4$; IR (film) 3392, 3068, 3032, 2915, 2868, 1070, $1008 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 77.75; H, 7.46. Found: C, 77.61; H, 7.42.

Preparation of diazoacetate 32 was accomplished by the same procedure as that employed for the formation of 29. Diketene condensation was performed with $29(4.61 \mathrm{~g}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, diketene ( $1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$, and stirring was continued for 22 h . Diazo transfer utilized $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 11.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{MsN}_{3}(1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 12.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 23 h . Deacylation was performed using pyrrolidine ( $4.30 \mathrm{~g}, 55.4$ mmol ), and column chromatography using silica gel ( $3: 1$ hexanes:ethyl acetate) provided purification. Diazoacetate $32(2.71 \mathrm{~g}, 5.41 \mathrm{mmol}$, $51 \%$ overall yield) was obtained as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.43-7.25(\mathrm{comp}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.98-4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 4.91-4.89 (m, 1 H$), 4.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61_{4}(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.60_{8}(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.58$ (s, 2 H ), 4.53 (s, 2 H ), 3.88 (quin, $J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), $1.73(\mathrm{dt}, J=0.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $166.3,136.4,136.2_{2}, 136.1_{6}, 136.08,134.1,129.2,129.0,128.8,128.7$, $128.6,128.5,128.3,127.9,127.7,127.6,127.5,112.1_{2}, 112.1_{0}, 74.1$, $69.8_{3}, 69.8_{0}, 69.7_{0}, 69.6_{8}, 69.3,63.8,46.1,19.4$; IR (film) $2112\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$, $1695(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1642(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : C, 71.96; H, 6.44; N, 5.59. Found: C, 72.03; H, 6.40; N, 5.54.

Diazo Decomposition of 32. To $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(1.1 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 2.5 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added $32(125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 2.5 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ via syringe pump according to the same procedure as that used for diazo decomposition of 29. After the reaction was complete, the reaction solution was filtered through a short plug of silica gel, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to provide 80 mg of crude product consisting of a mixture of $\mathbf{3 3 Z}$ and 33E. This mixture was chromatographed on silica gel (10:1 hexanes: ethyl acetate) to provide 69.7 mg ( $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \%$ yield) of the purified
product; we were not able to separate the individual isomers, so products were analyzed from the two-component mixture. The $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ catalyzed reaction of $\mathbf{3 2}$ was performed on a 1.00 mmol scale; crude product yield was $78 \%$, and 33 was isolated after chromatography in $42 \%$ yield. For 33Z: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.49-7.23$ (comp, $12 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 172.3$, $136.7,136.6,136.3,136.2,136.1,135.0,129.5,129.4,129.2,129.0_{2}$, $129.0_{1}, 128.6,128.3,128.2,128.1,128.0,127.8,127.6,72.1,71.2,71.1$, 70.9, 70.3, 70.1, 63.7, 27.5, 25.4, 22.7, 19.7. For 33E: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.50-7.25(\mathrm{comp}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,5.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.2, $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 172.0,137.6,137.3$,
$136.8,136.4,135.5,134.0,131.3,130.5,129.4,129.2,129.1,129.0$ 128.8, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.4, 77.2, 76.6, 71.3, 71.2, 70.7, $70.1,64.7,29.7,26.4,25.1,17.3$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ for $\mathbf{3 3 Z}+\mathbf{3 3 E}: 3072$, 3031, 2960, 2867, 1720, 1166, $1080 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 76.25; H, 6.83. Found: C, 76.12; H, 6.74.
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